Appl. No. 10/717,236 Amendment dated February 25, 2010 Reply to Office action dated February 19, 2010

## Remarks/Arguments

## Office Action, paragraph 1

Noted.

## Office Action, paragraphs 2-5

Applicant was reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. In particular, the abstract should be within the range of 50-150 words.

Applicant instructs the Examiner to delete the abstract filed on April 18, 2009 and add the new abstract that is attached after the last page of this amendment. The new abstract is within the range of 50 to 150 words and does not contain new subject matter. In fact, the text of the new abstract substantially overlaps with the text in claim 1. Therefore, the objection to the abstract has been overcome, and the abstract is now in condition for allowance.

## Office Action, paragraph 6

It is noted that all of the pending claims have been allowed.

Thus, the applicant submits that the above identified patent application is in condition for allowance. If any matters can be resolved by telephone, applicant requests that the Patent and Trademark Office call the applicant at the telephone number listed below. The Examiner is thanked for his/her assistance.

Respectfully submitted,

Venture Pacific Law, PC

Emil Way

Ву \_

Emil Chang Reg. No. 37,593

Date: February 25, 2010 Venture Pacific Law, PC

5201 Great America Parkway, Suite 270

Santa Clara, CA 95054 (408) 988-9898x111

(877) 256-3711 Fax